arXiv:1404.4200v1 [math-ph] 16 Apr 2014

K-causality and domain theory

N. Ebrahimi

Department of Mathematics, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman

Kerman, Iran

neda_eb55@yahoo.com

Abstract

Using the relation K^+, we prove that a certain type of stably causal spacetimes is a jointly bicontinuous poset whose interval topology is the manifold topology.

Keywords: Domain theory; stable causality; causal relation, K- causal relation. Alexandrov topology.

1 Introduction

It is shown by Martin and Panangaden [4] that it is possible to reconstruct globally hyperbolic spacetimes in a purely order theoretic manner using the causal relation J^+. These spacetimes belong to a category that is equivalent

to a special category of domains called interval domains [5]. In this paper we use the causal relation K^+ instead of J^+. The relation $K^+ \subseteq M \times M$ is defined as the smallest transitive closed relation which contains I^+ [7]. This definition arose from the fact that the causal relation, J^+, is transitive but not necessarily closed and $\overline{J^+}$ is closed but not necessarily transitive. The spacetime (M, g) is $K-$causal if K^+ is antisymmetric. Recently it is proved by Minguzzi that stable causality and K- causality are coincide. In globally hyperbolic and causally simple spacetimes $K^+ = J^+$. In this paper we prove that K- causal spacetimes, in which $int(K^{\pm}(.))$ are inner continuous are jointly bicontinuous posets.

2 Preliminaries

A poset is a partially ordered set, i.e, a set together with a reflexive, anti-symmetric and transitive relation.

In a poset $(P, \sqsubseteq)$, a nonempty subset $S \subseteq P$ is called directed (filtered) if $(\forall x,\ y \in S)(\exists z \in S)\ x,\ y \sqsubseteq z$ $((\forall x,\ y \in S)(\exists z \in S)\ z \sqsubseteq x,\ y)$. The supremum(infimum) of S is the least of its upper bounds (greatest of all its lower bounds) provided it exists.

For a subset X of a poset P, set:

$$\uparrow X = \{y \in P : (\exists x \in X)\ x \sqsubseteq y\}, \quad \downarrow X = \{y \in P : (\exists x \in X)\ y \sqsubseteq x\}.$$

A dcpo is a poset in which every directed subset has a supremum. The least element in a poset, when it exists, is the unique element $\perp$ with $\perp \sqsubseteq x$ for all x.

A subset U of a poset is scott open if:

(i) U is an upper set: $x \in U$ and $x \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow y \in U$.

(ii) For every directed $S \subseteq P$ with supremum that $\bigsqcup S \in U$ implies $S \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

The collection of scott open sets on P is called the scott topology.

Definition 2.1. For elements x, y of a poset, write $x \ll y$ if and only if for all directed sets S with a supremum,

$$y \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup S \Rightarrow (\exists s \in S)\ x \sqsubseteq s.$$

We set $\Downarrow x = \{a \in P : a \ll x\}$ and $\Uparrow x = \{a \in P : x \ll a\}$.

For symbol "$\ll$", read "way below".

Definition 2.2. A basis for a poset P is a subset B such that $B \cap \downarrow x$ contains a directed set with supremum x for all $x \in P$. A poset is continuous if it has a basis. A poset is ω- continuous if it has a countable basis.

Definition 2.3. For elements x, y of a poset, write $x \ll_d y$ if and only if for all filtered sets S with an infimum,

$$\bigsqcap S \sqsubseteq x \Rightarrow (\exists s \in S)\ s \sqsubseteq x.$$

We set $\Downarrow_d x = \{a \in P : a \ll_d x\}$ and $\Uparrow x_d = \{a \in P : x \ll_d a\}$. For symbol "$\ll_d$", read " way above".

Definition 2.4. A poset P is dual continuous if $\Uparrow_d x$ is filtered with infimum x for all $x \in P$.

A poset P is bicontinuous if it is both continuous and dual continuous. In addition a poset is called jointly bicontinuous if it is bicontinuous and the way below relation coincides with the way above relation. A bicontinuous

poset is called globally hyperbolic poset if all of its intervals, $[a, b\,] = \uparrow a \cap \downarrow b$, are compact in the interval topology.

Proposition 2.5.[1] If $x \ll y$ in a continuous poset P, then there is $z \in P$ with $x \ll z \ll y$.

Definition 2.6. On a bicontinuous poset P, sets of the form

$$(a, b) := \{x \in P : a \ll x \ll_d b\}$$

form a basis for a topology called the interval topology.

A useful example of continuous domains is upper space.

Example 2.7. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Its upper space $UX = \{K \neq \emptyset : K \text{ is compact }\}$, with $A \sqsubseteq B \Leftrightarrow B \subseteq A$ is a continuous dcpo. For K, $L \in UX$, $K \ll L$ if and only if $L \subseteq int(K)$.

3 Causal structure of a spacetime

In this section we suppose that (M, g) is a spacetime and I^+ and J^+ are the chronological and causal relations [2]. The spacetime M is globally hyperbolic if it is causal and $J^+(x) \cap J^-(y)$ is compact for every x, $y \in M$. Martin and Panangaden defined an order on the spacetime M in the following manner:

$$p \sqsubseteq q \equiv q \in J^+(p).$$

They proved the following theorem about Globally hyperbolic spacetimes:

Theorem 3.1. If M is a globally hyperbolic spacetime, then $(M, \sqsubseteq)$ is a biconinuous poset with $I^+ = \ll$ whose interval topology is the manifold topology.

This theorem suggests a formulation of causality independently of geometry. In this paper we try to generalize theorem 3.1. We use the relation K^+ instead of J^+.

If U is an open neighborhood of M, then we denote by J_U^+ the causal relation on the spacetime U with the induced metric. we recall that every event p of a spacetime (M, g) admits arbitrary small globally hyperbolic neighborhoods.

An open set U is K- convex if for all p, $q \in U$, $K^+(p) \cap K^-(q) \subseteq U$ [7].

The spacetime M is strongly K- causal at p if it contains arbitrary small K- convex neighborhoods of p, and it is strongly K- causal if it is strongly K- causal for all $p \in M$. K- causality implies strong K- causality [7]. The converse is trivial.

With $int(B)$ and $\overline{B}$, we denote the topological interior and closure of $B \subseteq M$, respectively. Let F be a function which assigns to each point $p \in M$ an open set $F(p) \subseteq M$. We say that F is inner continuous if for any p and any compact set $C \subseteq F(p)$, there exists a neighborhood U of p with $C \subseteq F(q)$, for every $q \in U$. In globally hyperbolic spacetimes $K^+ = J^+$ and $int(K^{\pm}(.)) = I^{\pm}(.)$ that are inner continuous.

Lemma 3.2.[3] In a K- causal spacetime (M, g), $int(K^+(.))$ and $int(K^-(.))$ are inner continuous if and only if for every $p,\ q \in M$, $p \in int(K^-(q)) \Leftrightarrow q \in int(K^+(p))$.

Lemma 3.3. $int(K^+(.))$ and $int(K^-(.))$ are outer continuous.

Definition 3.3. A K- causal spacetime (M, g) is called K- causally continuous if $int(K^+(.))$ and $int(K^-(.))$ are inner continuous.

Definition 3.4. Let (M, g) be a spacetime. Alexandrov topology on M is

the one which admits as a base,

$$B_A = \{I^+(p) \cap\ I^-(q) :\ p,\ q \in\ M\}.$$

Theorem 3.4.[2] For a spacetime (M, g), the following properties are equivalent:

(a) (M, g) is strongly causal.

(b) Alexandrov topology is equal to the original topology on M.

Using the relation K^+, we define the following topology on M.

K- Alexandrov topology is the one with the base,

$$B_K = \{int(K^+(p)) \cap int(K^-(q))\ :\ p,\ q \in M\}.$$

Theorem 3.5. The following are equivalent: are equivalent:

(a) (M, g) is K- causally continuous.

(b) K- Alexandrov topology is equal to the original topology on M.

Proof. Assume that (M, g) is K- causal. K- causality implies strong K- causality. Definition of strong K- causality implies that each point has arbitrary small K- convex neighborhoods. If V be an open neighborhood of p in the manifold topology, then there exists a causally K- convex neighborhood U of p, $U \subseteq\ V$, that is contained in a globally hyperbolic neighborhood. Indeed, $K^+_U(p) = J^+_U(p)$ and $int(K^+_U(p)) = I^+_U(p)$. Thus K- Alexandrov topology on $(U, g|_U)$ agrees with Alexandrov topology. Using theorem 3.4 and the fact that a strongly K- causal spacetime is strongly causal demonstrate that the manifold topology on U agrees with K- Alexandrov topology. Hence K- Alexandrov topology agrees with the manifold topology.

Conversely, suppose that M is not strongly K- causal at p. There is a neighborhood V of p that for every neighborhood $W \subseteq V$ of p there exist points $p',\ q' \in W$ such that $K^+(p') \cap K^-(q')$ is not a subset of W. Thus there isn't any open set in K- Alexandrov topology that is contained in V. Indeed, if for $c,\ c' \in M$, $W = int(K^+(c)) \cap int(K^-(c')) \subseteq V$ then by assumption, there is a point $d \in K^+(p') \cap K^-(q')$ that $d \notin W$. But since $int(K^{\pm}(.))$ are inner continuous, $d \in W$ that is a contradiction. As a consequence, K-Alexandrov topology is different from the given manifold topology.

4 Spacetime and domain theory

Let M be a K- causal spacetime. We write the relation K^+ as:

$$p \sqsubseteq q \equiv (p, q) \in K^+.$$

Example 4.2. Let M be a globally hyperbolic spacetime. In a globally hyperbolic spacetime, $K^+ = J^+$. Let S be a directed set with supremum, then $\bigsqcup S = \bigcap_{s \in S} [s, \bigsqcup S\ ]$. Let V be an arbitrary small neighborhood of $\bigsqcup S$. Using the approximation on the upper space of M, $\overline{V} \ll \bigsqcup S = \bigcap_{s \in S} [s, \bigsqcup S\ ]$ where the intersection is a directed collection of nonempty compact sets by directedness of S and global hyperbolicity of M. Thus for some $s \in S$, $[s, \bigsqcup S\ ] \subseteq \overline{V}$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $p,\ q$ and $r \in M$. Then:

i) $p \sqsubseteq q$ and $r \in int(K^+(q)) \Rightarrow r \in int(K^+(p))$.

ii) $p \in int(K^-(q))$ and $q \sqsubseteq r \Rightarrow p \in int(K^-(r))$.

Lemma 4.4. Let y_n be a sequence in M with $y_n \sqsubseteq y$ ($y_n \sqsubseteq y$) for all n and $lim_{n\to\infty}\, y_n = y$; then $\bigsqcup y_n = y$ ($\bigwedge y_n = y$).

Proof. Let $y_n \sqsubseteq x$ for every $n \in N$. Since K^+ is closed and $y_n \in K^-(x)$, $y = lim_{n\to\infty}\, y_n \in K^-(x)$. Thus $y \sqsubseteq x$ and this proves $y = \bigsqcup y_n$. The proof for the dual part is similar to this.

Note that the above lemma is true for every causal closed relation.

Lemma 4.5.[4] For any $x \in M$, $I^-(x)$ ($I^+(x)$) contains an increasing (decreasing) sequence with supremum (infimum) x.

Lemma 4.6. Let S be a directed set in (M, g) with supremum $\bigsqcup S$. Then there is an increasing sequence $\{s_n\}$ in S such that $lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = \bigsqcup S$.

Proof. Let $A = \{\{s_n\} : s_n \in S, s_n \sqsubseteq s_{n+1}\ \forall n \in N\}$. We define an equivalence relation on A in the following manner:

$$\{s_n\} \sim \{s'_n\} \Leftrightarrow \exists\ m \in N\ : s_n = s'_n\ \forall\ n > m.$$

Now we define a partial order on $A/\sim$.

$$[\{s_n\}] \sqsubseteq_1 [\{s'_n\}] \Leftrightarrow \exists m \in N :\ s_n \sqsubseteq s'_n, \forall\ n \geq m.$$

Suppose that $\{a_m\}_{m\in N} = \{[\{s_{m,n}\}_{n\in N}] : m \in N\}$ is a chain in $A/\sim$. We show that it has an upper bound. We define the sequence $\{b_m\}$ in the following manner:

$$b_1 = s_{1,n_1}\ :\ s_{1,n} \sqsubseteq s_{2,n}\ \forall n > n_1,$$

$$b_i = s_{i,n_i} : s_{i,n} \sqsubseteq s_{i+1,n} \forall n > m\ and\ n_i = max\{m, n_1, ..., n_{i-1}\}.$$

It is easy to show that $[\{b_m\}]$ is an upper bound of $\{a_m\}$. Hence by zorn's lemma $A/\sim$ has a maximum element $c = [\{c_m\}]$. Suppose by contradiction

that there is a neighborhood U of $\bigsqcup S$ with compact closure such that $S \cap U = \emptyset$. Let $\{c_m\}$ be a representation of $[\{c_m\}]$. Since $c_m \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup S$, there is $d_m \in \partial U$, such that $c_m \sqsubseteq d_m$ and $d_m \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup S$. $\{d_m\}$ has an accumulation point like d since ∂U is compact. There is $m \in N$ such that $c_n \sqsubseteq c_{n+1}, \forall n > m$ and K^+ is closed. Hence $c_i \sqsubseteq d_j$, $\forall i,\ j > m$ and consequently $c_i \sqsubseteq d$, $\forall i > m$. But $[\{c_m\}]$ is a maximal element of $A/\sim$ and this implies that d is an upper bound of S which is a contradiction to the fact that $d \sqsubseteq \bigsqcup S$ and $d \neq \bigsqcup S$.

Theorem 4.6. Let M be a K- causally continuous spacetime. Then

$$x \ll\ y \Leftrightarrow\ y \in int(K^+(x)) \Leftrightarrow x \ll_d y.$$

Proof. Let $y \in int(K^+(x))$. If for the directed set S $y \sqsubseteq\ \bigsqcup S$, then by assumption and lemma 3.2, $\bigsqcup S \in int(K^+(x))$.By lemma and the fact that $int(K^+(x))$ is open, there exists $s \in S$ such that $s \in int(K^+(x))$. Consequently, $x \ll\ y$.

If $x \ll\ y$, by lemma 4.5 there exists an increasing sequence y_n in $I^-(y)$ such that $\bigsqcup\ y_n = y$. Thus $x \sqsubseteq\ y_n$, for some n. Since I^+ is an open relation, $x \in int(K^-(y))$. The proof of the other part is similar to this.

Theorem 4.7. If M is a K- causally continuous spacetime, then $(M, \sqsubseteq)$ is a jointly bicontinuous poset with $\ll = int(K^-(.))$ whose interval topology is equal to the manifold topology.

Proof. By lemma 4.6, $\Downarrow x = int(K^-(x)$. In addition, by lemma 4.5, for every $x \in M$ there is an increasing sequence $x_n \subseteq I^-(x) \subseteq int(K^-(x)) = \Downarrow x$ with $\bigsqcup x_n = x$. Hence M is continuous. In a similar way we can prove that it is dually continuous. In addition, by theorem 4.6 and 3.4, interval topology is equal to the manifold topology.

References

[1] S Abramsky and A Jung, *Domain theory.* In S Abramsky , D M Gabbay and T S E Maibaum, editors, Handbook of Logic in Computer Science, vol III. Oxford University Press, 1994.

[2] J K Beem, P E Ehrlich and K L Easley, *Global Lorentzian Geometry* (New York: Marcel Dekker, 1996)

[3] H F Dowker, R S Garcia and S Surya, K-causality and degenerate spacetimes 2000 *Class. Quantum Gravity.* **17** 4377-96

[4] K Martin, P Panangaden, Spacetime topology from causality 2004 gr-qc/0407093v1

[5] K Martin, P Panangaden, A domain of spacetime intervals in general relativity 2006 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **267**

[6] E Minguzzi, K-causality coincides with stable causality 2009 *Commun. Math. Phys.* **290**, 239-248

[7] R D Sorkin and E Woolgar, A causal order for spacetime with C^0 lorentzian metrics: proof of compactness of the space of causal curves 1996 *Class. Quantum Gravity.* **13**, 1971-93